## 2004 Water Quality Assessment (Final) - Category 5 Listings for WRIA 30

		_				,		_		
WRIA	Listing ID Cat	egory	98 List?	Waterbody Name Basis	Location I	nformation			Parameter	Medium Remarks
30	5892	5	Y	COLUMBIA RIVER Tanner, et al. 1996., 55 excursions beyond the criterion out of 172 samples (32%) near Clif	<b>NN57SG</b> s, WA in 19		45.705	120.725	Temperature	EPA has the lead in a Temperature TMDL for the Columbia and Snake Rivers that is underway.
30	5893	5	Υ	COLUMBIA RIVER  Tanner, et al. 1996., 33 excursions beyond the criterion out of 135 samples (24%) at the Da  U.S. Army Corp of Engineers (2001) station TDA (The Dalles Forebay) shows 51 days excee		orebay in 1996.;	45.615	121.135	Temperature	,
30	5894	5	Y	COLUMBIA RIVER  Tanner, et al. 1996., 33 excursions beyond the criterion out of 80 samples (41%) at The Da  U.S. Army Corp of Engineers (2001) station TDDO (The Dalles Tailwater) shows 50 days ex	·	•	<b>45.605</b>	121.185	Temperature	EPA has the lead in a Temperature TMDL for the Columbia and Snake Rivers that is underway.
30	6296	5	N	COLUMBIA RIVER U.S. Army Corp of Engineers (2001) station JHAW (John Day Tailwater) shows 53 days exc	NN57SG eeding the	<b>45120H6B9</b> criterion in 2000.	45.715	120.695	Temperature	EPA has the lead in a Temperature TMDL for the Columbia and Snake Rivers that is underway.
30	7962	5	Y	SWALE CREEK Yakama Indian Nation data (submitted by Carroll Palmer on 2/28/96) show 37 excursions be	XN32HN yond the cr	<b>5.226 04N</b> iterion in 1990.	14E 33		Temperature	The WRIA 30 Watershed Planning Coordinator porvided additional informaiton on Swale Creek for instream flow and temperature, suggesting these impairments were due ot natural conditions. However, staff were not able to rule out anthropogenic sources in this area. Because of the uncertainties with respect to the human influences, these listings will remain in the "impaired" status until further study

TRS was 04N-14E-19 on 1998 list. -kk

Continuous temperature measurements were taken, but results reported as single day maximums. Category 5 listing is continued from 1998 assessment based on multiple excursions from continuous monitoring.

of the watershed can determine the extent of the influence and what might be done to correct or mitigate them.

Wednesday, November 2, 2005

Page 1 of 1